arXiv:1404.7286v1 [math.CO] 29 Apr 2014

The spectral radius of the square of graphs*

Yi-Zheng Fan†, Long Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P. R. China

Abstract: The square of a connected graph G is obtained from G by adding an edge between every pair of vertices at distance 2. In this paper we give some upper or lower bounds for the spectral radius of the square of connected graphs, trees and unicyclic graphs respectively. We also investigate the spectral radius of the square of unicyclic graphs with given girth or trees with fixed diameter.

MR Subject Classifications: 05C50

Keywords: Square of a graph; spectral radius; tree; unicyclic graph

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider simple, undirected and connected graphs. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a connected graph of order n and let $A(G)$ be its adjacent matrix. Since $A(G)$ is a symmetric and nonnegative matrix, the largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$ is exactly the spectral radius of $A(G)$, which is also called the *spectral radius* of G and is denoted by $\rho(G)$.

The following are among of the classical results of the spectral radius of graphs, where P_n, C_n, S_n, K_n are respectively the path, the cycle, the star and the complete graphs of order n, and S_n^* is obtained from S_n by joining two of its pendant vertices.

THEOREM 1.1. [3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12] *Let G be a connected graph of order n. If $G \neq P_n$ and $G \neq K_n$, then*

$$\rho(P_n) < \rho(G) < \rho(K_n).$$

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11071002, 11371028), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0001), Scientific Research Fund for Fostering Distinguished Young Scholars of Anhui University(KJJQ1001), Academic Innovation Team of Anhui University Project (KJTD001B).

†Corresponding author. Email address: fanyz@ahu.edu.cn(Y.-Z. Fan), wanglongxuzhou@126.com(L. Wang)

If G is a tree, $G \neq P_n$ and $G \neq S_n$, then

$$\rho(P_n) < \rho(G) < \rho(S_n).$$

If G is a unicyclic graph, $G \neq C_n$ and $G \neq S_n^*$, then

$$\rho(C_n) < \rho(G) < \rho(S_n^*).$$

The k-th *power* of a connected graph G, denoted by G^k, is obtained from G by adding an edge between every pair of vertices within distance k. It is easy to see that $G^1 = G$ and $G^d = K_n$ if d is the diameter of G. In particular, the graph G^2 is called the *square* of G. If G is a path or a cycle, then G^k can also be considered as a distance graph or circulant graph. The power of graphs has been found to be useful in practical applications. For example, coloring on the square of a graph may be used to assign frequencies to the participants of wireless communication networks so that no two participants interfere with each other at any of their common neighbors [1, 11], and to find graph drawings with high angular resolution [8]. If G is connected, then G^3 necessarily contains a Hamiltonian cycle; and G^2 is always Hamiltonian when G is 2-vertex connected (see [7]).

In 1973 Cvetković characterized the spectrum of the total graph of a regular graph [4]. Recall that the total graph of a graph G is exactly $S(G)^2$, where $S(G)$ is the subdivision of G. Except for the above work, few work appears on the spectra of the power of graphs. In this paper, we give some upper or lower bounds for the spectral radius of the square of connected graphs, trees and unicyclic graphs respectively. We also investigate the spectral radius of the square of unicyclic graphs with given girth or trees with fixed diameter.

2 Preliminaries

Denote by $\mathcal{G}_n, \mathcal{T}_n, \mathcal{U}_n$ the classes of connected graphs, trees and unicyclic graphs of order n respectively. A graph G is called *maximizing* (respectively, *minimizing*) in a class of graphs if the spectral radius of the square of such graph attains the maximum (respectively, minimum) among all graphs in the class.

Let G be a graph and let $u, v \in V(G)$. Denote by $N_G(u)$ the set of neighbors of u in G, and by $d_G(u) = |N_G(u)|$ the degree of u. The distance of u and v is denoted by $dist_G(u, v)$, and the diameter of G is denoted and defined as $diam(G) =$

$\max_{u,v} dist(u,v)$. The graph G is called *regular* if all vertices of G have the same degree, and is called *nontrivial* if G contains more than one vertex.

A path on n vertices is denoted by $P_n = v_1v_2\dots v_n$, which contains the vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ and edges v_iv_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. A cycle on n vertices is denoted by $C_n = v_1v_2\dots v_n$, which contains the vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ and edges v_iv_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ under modulo n. The notation $H \subseteq G$ or $G \supseteq H$ means that H is a subgraph of G, and $H \subsetneq G$ or $G \supsetneq H$ means that H is a proper subgraph of G (i.e. $E(G)\backslash E(H) \neq \emptyset$).

LEMMA 2.1. [2] *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and average degree $\alpha(G)$. If G is not regular, then $\alpha(G) < \rho(G) < \Delta(G)$.*

LEMMA 2.2. [2] *Let G be a connected graph and H be a subgraph of G. Then $\rho(H) \leq \rho(G)$, with equality if and only if $H = G$.*

Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected vertex-disjoint graphs, and $v_1 \in G_1$, $v_2 \in G_2$. The *coalescence* of G_1 and G_2, denoted by $G_1(v_1) \circ G_2(v_2)$, is obtained from G_1 and G_2 by identifying v_1 with v_2 and forming a new vertex u. The graph $G_1(v_1) \circ G_2(v_2)$ is also written as $G_1(u) \circ G_2(u)$. If a connected graph G can be expressed in the form $G = G_1(u) \circ G_2(u)$, where G_1 and G_2 are both nontrivial and connected, then G_1 and G_2 are called the *branches* of G rooted at u. If v_1' is another vertex of G_1 rather than v_1, the graph $G_1(v_1') \circ G_2(v_2)$ is said obtained from $G_1(v_1) \circ G_2(v_2)$ by *relocating* G_2 from v_1 to v_1'.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let G be a nontrivial connected graph and T be a nontrivial tree. Then*

$$\rho[(G(v) \circ T(u))^2] \leq \rho[(G(v) \circ S(u))^2],$$

where S is a star centered at u that has the same order as T. The equality holds if and only if T is a star centered at u.

Proof. It can be directly checked that, if T is not a star centered at u, then $G(v) \circ T(u) \subsetneq G(v) \circ S(u)$. The result follows from Lemma 2.2. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $P_3 = v_1v_2v_3$ and let H be a nontrivial connected graph. Let $G_1 = P_3(v_3) \circ H(u)$ and $G_2 = P_3(v_2) \circ H(u)$. Then $\rho(G_1^2) < \rho(G_2^2)$.*

From the famous Perron-Frobenius theory, for a connected graph G of order n, $\rho(G^2)$ is simple and is corresponding to a positive eigenvector, which is called the

Perron vector of G^2. Let X be a Perron vector of G^2, and let X_v denote the entry of X corresponding to the vertex v of G. We have

$$\rho(G^2)X_v = \sum_{u,dist_G(u,v)\leq 2} X_u. \tag{2.1}$$

Denote $\tilde{X}_v = X_v + \sum_{u\in N_G(v)} X_u$, we have the following useful lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two nontrivial connected graphs, and let $u, v \in V(H_1)$, $w \in V_{H_2}$. Let $G_1 = H_1(u) \circ H_2(w)$ and $G_2 = H_1(v) \circ H_2(w)$. Let X be a Perron vector of G_1^2. If $\tilde{X}_u \leq \tilde{X}_v$ and $X_u \leq X_v$, then $\rho(G_1^2) < \rho(G_2^2)$. In particular, if H_2 is a star, and $\tilde{X}_u \leq \tilde{X}_v$, then $\rho(G_1^2) < \rho(G_2^2)$.*

Proof. Suppose that X is unit. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}X^TA(G_2^2)X &= \sum_{rs\in E(G_2^2)} X_rX_s \\
&= \sum_{rs\in E(G_1^2)} X_rX_s + \sum_{r\in N_{H_2(w)}} \sum_{s\in N_{H_1}(v)\cup\{v\}} X_rX_s + \sum_{r,dist_{H_2}(r,w)=2} X_rX_v \\
&\quad - \sum_{r\in N_{H_2}(w)} \sum_{s\in N_{H_1}(u)\cup\{u\}} X_rX_s - \sum_{r,dist_{H_2}(r,w)=2} X_rX_u \\
&= \frac{1}{2}X^TA(G_1^2)X + \sum_{r\in N_{H_2}(w)} X_r(\tilde{X}_v - \tilde{X}_u) + \sum_{r,dist_{H_2}(r,w)=2} X_r(X_v - X_u) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}X^TA(G_1^2)X.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\rho(G_2^2) \geq X^TA(G_2^2)X \geq X^TA(G_1^2)X = \rho(G_1^2).$$

If $\rho(G_1^2) = \rho(G_2^2)$, then X is also the Perron vector of G_2^2. Furthermore, $\tilde{X}_v = \tilde{X}_u$, and $X_v = X_u$ if there exists a vertex r such that $d_{H_2}(r, w) = 2$. However, by considering the eigenvector equation (2.1) for G_1^2 and G_2^2 both on the vertex u, we get that u is adjacent to v, and H_2 is a star centered at w. As $\tilde{X}_v = \tilde{X}_u$, there must exist a vertex t of H_1 other than u and v, such that t is adjacent to v but not adjacent to u. Note that $N_{G_2}(t)\backslash N_{G_1}(t) = V(H_2)\backslash\{w\}$. So, if considering the equations (2.1) for G_1^2 and G_2^2 both on the vertex t, we also get a contradiction.

The second result is easily obtained by the above discussion. ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let T be a tree obtained from $P_4 = v_1v_2v_3v_4$ by appending a pendant edge uv_3 at v_3, let H be a connected graph containing a vertex w. Let*

$G_1 = T(v_4) \circ H(w)$ and let G_2 be obtained from G_1 by relocating the edge uv_3 to uv_1. Then $\rho(G_2^2) < \rho(G_1^2)$.

Proof. Let X be a Perron vector G_2^2. If $\tilde{X}_{v_1} \leq \tilde{X}_{v_3}$, then $\rho(G_2^2) < \rho(G_1^2)$ by Lemma 2.5. Otherwise, $\tilde{X}_{v_1} > \tilde{X}_{v_3}$, i.e. $X_u + X_{v_1} + X_{v_2} > X_{v_2} + X_{v_3} + X_{v_4}$. If $X_{v_1} < X_{v_3}$, then $X_u > X_{v_4}$. However, by the assumption $X_{v_1} < X_{v_3}$ and the eigenvector equation (2.1) on u and v_4, we get $X_u < X_{v_4}$, a contradiction. So, $X_{v_1} \geq X_{v_3}$.

Observe that $G_2 = P(v_3) \circ (H + v_3v_4)(v_3)$, where $P = uv_1v_2v_3$ and $H + v_3v_4$ is obtained from H by appending a pendant edge v_3v_4. Now relocating the branch $G + v_3v_4$ from v_3 to v_1, the resulting graph $\bar{G}_1$ is isomorphic to G_1. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\rho(G_2^2) < \rho(\bar{G}_1^2) = \rho(G_1^2)$. ■

3 The spectral radius of the square of graphs or trees

In this section we will determine the maximizing or minimizing graphs in $\mathcal{G}_n$ or $\mathcal{T}_n$. It is easily seen that G^2 is a complete graph if and only if $diam(G) \leq 2$. So, by Lemma 2.2 we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph of order n. Then $\rho(G^2) \leq n - 1$, with equality holds if and only if $diam(G) \leq 2$, or equivalently $G^2 = K_n$.*

We now wish to find the minimizing graph in $\mathcal{G}_n$. By Lemma 2.2, such graph can be found within $\mathcal{T}_n$. Let T_i be the graphs listed in Fig. 3.1. By MATHEMATICA, $\rho(T_i^2) > 4$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$. Note that $\rho(P_n^2) < \Delta(P_n^2) = 4$. So, if T is a minimizing graph in $\mathcal{T}_n$, then T cannot contain any graph in Fig. 3.1 as a subgraph. In other words, any graph in Fig. 3.1 is *forbidden* in T.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $P_k = v_1v_2 \ldots v_k$ and $P_{n-k+1} = u_1u_2 \ldots u_{n-k+1}$ be two disjoint paths. Assume that $n \geq 2k - 1$ and $T = P_k(v_k) \circ P_{n-k+1}(u_k)$. Then $\rho(T^2) \geq \rho(P_n^2)$, with equality if and only if $n = 2k - 1$.*

Proof. If $n = 2k - 1$, clearly the result follows as T is exactly a path of order n. Now suppose that $n > 2k - 1$. Let $P_n = w_1w_2 \ldots w_n$, $P_n^{(1)} = w_1w_2 \ldots w_{n-k+1}$ and $P_n^{(2)} = w_{n-k+1}w_{n-k+2} \ldots w_n$ be its two subpaths. One can observe that $\bar{T} := P_n^{(2)}(w_{n-k+1}) \circ P_n^{(1)}(w_k)$ is isomorphic to T. Let X be the Perron vector of P_n^2. Then by symmetry $\tilde{X}_{w_k} = \tilde{X}_{w_{n-k+1}}$ and $X_{w_k} = X_{w_{n-k+1}}$. So, by Lemma 2.5, $\rho(P_n^2) < \rho(\bar{T}^2) = \rho(T^2)$. ■



Fig. 3.1. Eight trees T_i with $\rho(T_i^2) > 4$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let T be a tree of order n with diameter at least 4, and let $P_k = v_1v_2\ldots v_k$ be a longest path in T. If T is a minimizing graph in $\mathcal{T}_n$, then $d_T(v_2) = d_T(v_3) = d_T(v_{k-1}) = d_T(v_{k-2}) = 2$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 we find that $d_T(v_2) = d_T(v_{k-1}) = 2$. First we assert that, if $d_T(v_3) \geq 3$, then $d_T(u) = 1$ for any $u \in N_T(v_3)\backslash V(P_k)$. (In fact, if $N_T(v_3)\backslash V(P_k) \neq \emptyset$, then it contains only one element as S_5 is forbidden in T.) Otherwise, if $k \geq 7$, then $T \supseteq T_5$, and hence $\rho(T^2) \geq \rho(T_5^2) > 4$, a contradiction, where T_5 is shown in Fig. 3.1. So, k takes 5 or 6. As T contains no T_1 in Fig. 3.1 as a subgraph, $T = P_3(v_3) \circ P_{n-2}(u_3)$, where P_3 and P_{n-2} are denoted as in Lemma 3.2, and n takes 7 or 8. By Lemma 3.2, we get $\rho(T^2) > \rho(P_n^2)$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $N_T(v_3)\backslash V(P_k)$ contains only one pendant vertex, say u. Let $\bar{T}$ be obtained from T by relocating the edge uv_3 to uv_1. By Corollary 2.6 we get $\rho(\bar{T}^2) < \rho(T^2)$, a contradiction.

By the above discussion, we have shown $d_T(v_3) = 2$. By symmetry we also have $d_T(v_{k-2}) = 2$. ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 4$. Then $\rho(T^2) \geq \rho(P_n^2)$, with equality holds if and only if $T = P_n$.*

Proof. Let T be a minimizing tree in $\mathcal{T}_n$. We assert that $T = P_n$ and hence the result follows. Let $P_k = v_1v_2\ldots v_k$ be a longest path in T. The result holds if k equals 3 or 4 by Corollary 2.4. If $k \geq 5$, then $d_T(v_2) = d_T(v_3) = d_T(v_{k-2}) = d_T(v_{k-1}) = 2$ by Lemma 3.3. So the result holds for k being 5 or 6.

Now suppose $k \geq 7$. Assume to the contrary that $T \neq P_n$. If $n \geq k+2$, then T contains one of T_1, T_2, T_6, T_7 in Fig. 3.1 as a subgraph, which implies that $\rho(T^2) > 4$, a contradiction.

If $n = k+1$, letting $u \in V(T)\backslash V(P_k)$, we assert that if $uv_4 \in E(T)$ or $uv_{v_{k-3}} \in E(T)$, then $\rho(T^2) > \rho(P_n^2)$. Assume without loss of generality that $uv_4 \in E(T)$. Let $P_n = u_1u_2\ldots u_n$ be the path of order n and let X be the Perron vector of P_n^2. Then $P_n = P_n^{(11)}(v_{n-1}) \circ P_n^{(12)}(v_{n-1})$, where $P_n^{(12)} = u_{n-1}u_n$; or $P_n = P_n^{(21)}(u_4) \circ P_n^{(22)}(u_4)$, where $P_n^{(21)} = u_1u_2u_3u_4$. If $\tilde{X}_{u_4} \geq \tilde{X}_{u_{n-1}}$, noting that T is obtained from P_n by relocating $P_n^{(12)}$ from u_{n-1} to u_4, hence by Lemma 2.5 we have $\rho(P_n^2) < \rho(T^2)$. Otherwise, $\tilde{X}_{u_4} < \tilde{X}_{u_{n-1}} = \tilde{X}_{u_2}$, and then $X_{u_4} + X_{u_5} < X_{u_1} + X_{u_2}$. If $X_{u_4} > X_{u_2}$, then $X_{u_5} < X_{u_1}$. However, by the assumption that $X_{u_4} > X_{u_2}$ and the eigenvector equation (2.1) on u_1 and u_5, we get $X_{u_5} > X_{u_1}$, a contradiction. So $X_{u_4} \leq X_{u_2} = X_{u_{n-1}}$. Noting that T is also obtained from P_n by relocating $P_n^{(21)}$ from u_4 to u_{n-1}, so by Lemma 2.5 again we get $\rho(P_n^2) < \rho(T^2)$.

From the above discussion, it suffices to consider the case of $k \geq 9$. If $k = 9$, then $\rho(T^2) > \rho(P_{10}^2)$ by Lemma 3.2. If $k \geq 10$, then $T \supseteq T_8$ and $\rho(T^2) > 4$. The result follows now. ■

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$\rho(P_n^2) \leq \rho(T^2) \leq \rho(S_n^2),$$

with the first equality if and only if $T = P_n$, and the second equality if and only if $T = S_n$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then $\rho(G^2) \geq \rho(P_n^2)$, with equality holds if and only if $G = P_n$.*

Proof. If G itself is a tree, the result follows from Theorem 3.4. Otherwise, G contains a spanning unicyclic subgraph U which contains a cycle C. Surely by Lemma 2.2, $\rho(G^2) \geq \rho(U^2)$. If $U = C$, noting U contains at least 4 vertices, we have $\rho((U-e)^2) < \rho(U^2)$ as $(U-e)^2$ is a proper subgraph of U^2, where e is an arbitrary edge on the cycle. Otherwise, let uv be an edge appending on the cycle C and let $uw =: e$ be an edge on C, where $u \in V(C)$. Now the distance between v, w is 2 in U, but is greater than 2 in $U-e$. So, $(U-e)^2$ is still a proper subgraph of U^2, and hence $\rho((U-e)^2) < \rho(U^2)$. By the above discussion,

$$\rho(G^2) \geq \rho(U^2) > \rho((U-e)^2) \geq \rho(P_n^2).$$

■

Remark. The result dose not hold for $n = 3$ as $\rho(P_3^2) = \rho(C_3^2) = 2$.

4 The spectral radius of the square of unicyclic graphs

In this section we determine the maximizing or minimizing graphs in $\mathcal{U}_n$. The following result on maximizing graphs in $\mathcal{U}_n$ is easily seen, that is, the graphs C_3, C_4, C_5, S_n^* with diameter at most 2.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let U be a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 3$. Then $\rho(U^2) \leq n-1$, with equality if and only if $diam(U) \leq 2$.*

Now we characterize the minimizing graph in $\mathcal{U}_n$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let U be a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 6$. If $5 \leq g(U) \leq n-1$, then $\rho(U^2) > 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to prove that the average degree $\alpha(U^2)$ of U^2 is greater than 4. Denote $g := g(U)$. If $n = g+1$, then $\alpha(U^2) > 4$ obviously by a direct calculation. Assume that $\alpha(U^2) > 4$ for all unicyclic graphs U of order $n = g + k$, where $k \geq 1$. Let U be unicyclic graph of order $g + k + 1$. Then U is obtained from a unicyclic graph, say $\bar{U}$ of order $g + k$ by appending a pendant edge uw, where w is the vertex of degree one. Note that u has at least one neighbor u' in $\bar{U}$. So, $d_{U^2}(w) \geq 2$, $d_{U^2}(u) = d_{\bar{U}^2}(u) + 1$ and $d_{U^2}(u') = d_{\bar{U}^2}(u') + 1$. By the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v\in U} d_{U^2}(v) &= \sum_{v\in U\setminus\{u,u'\}} d_{U^2}(v) + d_{U^2}(u) + d_{U^2}(u') + d_{U^2}(w) \\
&\geq \sum_{v\in U\setminus\{u,u'\}} d_{U^2}(v) + (d_{\bar{U}^2}(u) + 1) + (d_{\bar{U}^2}(u') + 1) + 2 \\
&\geq \sum_{v\in U\setminus\{u,u'\}} d_{\bar{U}^2}(v) + d_{\bar{U}^2}(u) + d_{\bar{U}^2}(u') + 4 \\
&= \sum_{v\in \bar{U}} d_{\bar{U}^2}(v) + 4 \\
&= (g+k)\alpha(\bar{U}) + 4 \\
&> 4(g+k+1)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Note that $\rho(C_n^2) = 4$ when $n \geq 5$. Let U be a unicycle graph of order n. The above lemma shows that if U is not a cycle and $g(U) \geq 5$, then U is not a minimizing

graph. Next we consider the unicycle graphs with girth less than 5. By a calculation using MATHEMATICA, the graphs in Fig. 4.1 are forbidden in a minimizing graph.



Fig. 4.1. Four unicyclic graphs U_i with $\rho(U_i^2) > 4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let U be a minimizing graph in $\mathcal{U}_n$ ($n \geq 5$). If $g(U) = 3$ or $g(U) = 4$, then $U = C_3(v) \circ T(v)$, where T is a tree containing v as a pendant vertex.*

Proof. We first consider the case of $g(U) = 3$. Let $C_3 = v_1v_2v_3$ be the cycle of U. As U_1 of Fig. 4.1 is forbidden, C_3 has at most two vertices of degree at least 3; If C_3 contains exactly two vertices, say v_1, v_2 of degree at least 3, then one of them, say v_1 is only adjacent to pendant vertices as U_2 of Fig. 4.1 is forbidden. Furthermore, by Corollay 2.4, the degree of v_1 is exactly 3. Let u be the unique neighbor of v_1 outside C_3. Now delete the edge v_2v_3 and adding a new edge uv_3, we will get a graph U' which holds $U'^2 \subsetneq U^2$. So $\rho(U'^2) < \rho(U^2)$ by Lemma 2.2, a contradiction to U be minimizing.

By the above discussion, C_3 contains exactly one vertex, namely v_2 of degree at least 3. If $d_U(v_2) = 3$, we are done. Otherwise, U contains S_5^* as a proper subgraph or $U = S_5^*$. The latter case cannot happen by Corollay 2.4. For the former case, $\rho(U^2) > 4$, also a contradiction.

Now come to the case of $g(U) = 4$. Let $C_4 = v_1v_2c_3v_4$ be the cycle of U. First suppose that $n \geq 6$. As U_3 and U_4 of Fig. 4.1 are forbidden, C_4 contains exactly one vertex, say v_4 of degree at least 3. In fact, $d_U(v_4) = 3$; otherwise U would contain a forbidden subgraph S_5. So we can write U as $U = C_4(v_4) \circ T(v_4)$, where T is a tree containing v_4 as a pendant vertex. If $n = 5$, then U is obtained from C_4 by a appending a pendant edge at some vertex say v_4. Now construct a new unicyclic graph $\bar{U}$ from U by deleting the edge v_3v_4 and adding a new edge v_3v_1. Then $U^2 \supsetneq \bar{U}^2$ and $\rho(U^2) > \rho(\bar{U}^2)$, a contradiction. ■

THEOREM 4.4. *Let U be a unicyclic graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$\rho(U^2) \geq \min\{\rho[(C_3)(v) \circ P_{n-2}(v))^2], \rho(C_n^2)\},$$

with equality if and only if $U = C_3(v) \circ P_{n-2}(v)$ *or* $U = C_n$*, where* v *is a pendant vertex of* P_{n-2}*.*

Proof. Surely the result holds for $n = 4$. Suppose $n \geq 5$ in the following. Assume that $U \neq C_n$ is a minimizing graph in $\mathcal{U}_n$. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, $U = C_3(v_1) \circ T(v_1)$, where T is a tree containing v_1 as a pendant vertex, and $d_U(v_1) = 3$. Let $P_k = v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$ be the longest path contained in T which starts from v_1, where $k \geq 3$ as $n \geq 5$. We assert that $U = C_3(v_1) \circ P_{n-2}(v_1)$ by the following claims.

(1) $d_U(v) \leq 3$ for any vertex $v \in V(T)$; otherwise U would properly contain S_5.

(2) $d_U(v_{k-1}) = 2$; otherwise, the neighbors of v_{k-1} outside P_k should be pendant vertices by the definition of P_k, and then U is not minimizing by Corollary 2.4.

(3) $d_U(v_{k-2}) = 2$ by Corollay 2.6.

(4) $d_U(v_2) = d_U(v_3) = 2$; otherwise, by the fact that $d_U(v_{k-1}) = 2$ we find that U contains T_1 or T_2 of Fig. 3.1.

(5) $d_U(v_4) = d_U(v_5) = 2$; otherwise, U contains T_3 or T_4 of Fig. 3.1.

(6) for $6 \leq i \leq k-3$ (in this case $k \geq 9$), $d_U(v_i) = 2$; otherwise U would contain T_7 of Fig. 3.1. ■

Conjecture 1.

$$\rho[(C_3(v) \circ P_{n-2}(v))^2] < \rho(C_n^2).$$

Using MATHEMATICA, we verified the conjecture is true for $5 \leq n \leq 100$. If the conjecture were true, we would find some difference between the minimum spectral radius of unicyclic graphs (see Theorem 1.1) and their square.

5 The spectral radius of the square of unicyclic graph with fixed girth

Denote by $\mathcal{U}_n(g)$ the class of unicyclic graphs of order n with girth g, and by $\mathcal{T}_n(d)$ the class of trees of order n with diameter d. We determines the unique maximizing graph in $\mathcal{U}_n(g)$, and then pose a conjecture on the maximizing graph(s) in $\mathcal{T}_n(d)$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let* $\tilde{U} = C_g(v) \circ S_{n-g+1}(v)$*, where* v *is the center of* S_{n-k+1}*. Then for any unicyclic graph* $U \in \mathcal{U}_n(g)$ *of order* n *with girth* g*,* $\rho(U^2) \leq \rho(\tilde{U}^2)$*, with the equality if and only if* $U = \tilde{U}$*.*

Proof. Let $C_g = v_1 v_2 \dots v_g$. Then U can be considered as one obtained from C_g by attaching some trees T_i at the vertices v_i's respectively. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$\rho(U^2) \leq \rho(\bar{U}^2)$, where $\bar{U}$ is obtained from U by replacing each T_i by a star centered v_i that has the same order of T_i. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if each T_i is a star centered at v_i.

Suppose that there exists at least two stars of $\bar{U}$, say $S_{(i)}$ and $S_{(j)}$ centered at v_i and v_j respectively. Let X be a Perron vector of $\bar{U}^2$. Assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{X}_{v_i} \leq \tilde{X}_{v_j}$. then $\rho(\bar{U}^2) < \rho(\bar{U}'^2)$ by Lemma 2.5, where $\bar{U}'$ is obtained from $\bar{U}$ by relocating $S_{(i)}$ from v_i to v_j. Repeating the above process until the resulting graph contains only one vertex with degree greater than 2, we then get the result as desired. ■

By a similar discussion as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we narrow the scope the maximizing graph(s) in $\mathcal{T}_n(d)$.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $P_{k+1} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{d+1}$, and let $\tilde{T}(i) = P_{k+1}(v_i) \circ S_{n-k}(u)$, where $2 \leq i \leq d$, and u is the center of S_{n-k}. If T is a minimizing tree in $\mathcal{T}_n(d)$, then $T = \tilde{T}(i)$ for some i.*

Conjecture 2. The maximizing tree in $\mathcal{T}_n(d)$ is $\tilde{T}(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1)$.

References

[1] G. Agnarsson, M. M. Halldórsson, Coloring powers of planar graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 16(4)(2003), 651-662.

[2] A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, Berlin, 2012.

[3] R. A. Brualdi, E. S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of connected graphs, *Publ. Inst. Math.*(Beograd), 39(53)(1986), 45-54.

[4] D. Cvetković, Spectrum of the total graph of a graph, *Publ. Inst. Math.*(Beograd), 16(1973), 49-52.

[5] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[6] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, The largest eigenvalues of a graph: a survey, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 28(1990), 3-33.

[7] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, 4th ed., Springer-Verlag, 2010.

[8] M. Formann, T. Hagerup, J. Haralambides, M. Kaufmann, F. T. Leighton, A. Symvonis, E. Welzl, G. Woeginger, Drawing graphs in the plane with high resolution, *SIAM J. Comput.*, 22(1993), 1035-1052.

[9] Y. Hong, On the spectra of unicyclic graphs (in Chinese), *J. East China Normal Univ.* (Nat. Sci. Ed.), 1(1)(1986), 31-34.

[10] Q. Li, K. Feng, On the largest eigenvalue of graphs (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.*, 2(1979), 167-175.

[11] L.-Y. Miao, Y.-Z. Fan, The distance coloring of graphs, *Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser.*, in press. arXiv: 1212.1029v3.

[12] S. Simić, On the largest eigenvalue of unicyclic graphs, *Publ. Inst. Math.* (Beograd), 42(56)(1987), 13-19.